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Figure 1. Air quality governance system founded in legislation. Source: UNEP 2021a, 13
As outlined in the GAAPL, a robust system of air quality governance to deliver public health outcomes is one which:

1. requires governments to develop and regularly review applicable air quality standards in light of public health objectives;
2. determines institutional responsibility for those standards;
3. monitors compliance with air quality standards in a manner that is consistent, scientifically robust and broadly representative of population exposure;
4. defines consequences for failure to meet air quality standards;
5. supports the implementation of air quality standards with appropriate and coordinated air quality plans, regulatory measures and administrative capacity; and
6. is transparent and participatory.
Has litigation assisted in better air quality?

India – *M.C. Mehta v Union of India* 1986 Taj Mahal Case

China - Air pollution prosecutions – *All China Environment Federation v Dezhou Jinghua* 2015 (air pollution public interest case - $3 million fine)

UNEP (2019) A Review of 20 years’ air pollution control in Beijing

Compared with 20 years earlier (1997-2017)

- GDP – increased 1078%
- Population – increased 74%
- Vehicles – increased 335%

- On-ground observation data shows that the annual average concentrations of SO2, NO2 and PM10 decreased by 93.3%, 37.8% and 55.3%, respectively.
The Beijing Response

The 2019 review of the Beijing Experience by the UNEP and the Beijing Municipal Government highlights that the air quality management system was characterised by:

• Complete legislation and enforcement mechanism;
• Systematic planning;
• Powerful local standards;
• Strong monitoring capacity;
• High public environmental awareness (UNEP 2019, p.7)
The Beijing Response - Key Features

• A long-term planning commitment to improve air quality;
• Strong legislation on Air Quality (2000 and 2015);
• EIA requirements to be met for any new industries;
• Air emissions discharge permits and higher fees for emissions;
• Installation of 1000 air monitoring stations for PM2.5 across Beijing;
• Real-time and public access to air monitoring data;
• Significant financial incentives to reduce emissions;
• Halting of new-build coal-fired power plants;
• Conversion of existing coal power plants to coal-gasification;
• Clear Action Plan for public warnings of extreme air pollution events;
• Closure of air polluting facilities during extreme air pollution events;
• Prosecutions and financial penalties for breaching air quality standards.
Enforcement and Compliance
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Conclusions

• The state must enforce air pollution permits and limits
• The private sector must be prosecuted for breaches and for damages and injunctions to prevent air pollution
• Civil society can take action (see India, Thailand and Indonesia) to enforce constitutional rights to a clean, healthy, safe and sustainable environment both against governments for failing to act and companies for violation of relevant laws, permits, approvals and failure to take action to prevent pollution.
• Good legislation and regulations must be enforced to make the polluters pay, in accordance with national and international obligations.
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